

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/523,613		ITO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	SATH V. PERUNGA VOOR		2624	

All Participants:

(1) SATH V. PERUNGA VOOR.

(2) Mr. Robert Goodell (Reg. No. 41,040).

Date of Interview: 22 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner stated that a 371 claim to the PCT application requires an amendment to the specification or ADS. See MPEP 201.11. Applicant's representative agreed to a specification amendment by Examiner's amendment. See Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Response to non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)